### Impact Welding in a Variety of Geometric Configurations

**Yuan Zhang** 

Sudarsanam Suresh Babu

**Glenn S. Daehn** 

Department of Materials Science and Engineering The Ohio State University







- Introduction of Impact Welding
- Motivation and Objective
- Different Configurations for MPW
- Process Parameter Measurement
- Joint Property and Microstructure Study
- Conclusion
- Acknowledgement



### Roadmap

### Configuration

Plate to Plate Lap JointFlanging and WeldingTube to Rod Lap Joint

#### **Process**

Primary Current-RogoswkiImpact Velocity-PDVImpact Angle-Multiple PDV

### Property

- •Lap Shear Test
- •Peeling Test
- •Microhardness Test
- •Nanoindentation Test

### **Multi-scale Characterization**



SEM



**FIB** 



TEM





3DAP





## Impact Welding Mechanism



[Isao Masumoto et.al. 1985; Bahrani A.S. et. al. 1967; Ezra A.A., 1973]



### Different Impact Welding Systems\*



[\*Y. Zhang et.al., 2010; \*\*G. Daehn and John Lippold, 2009]



### **Motivation and Challenge**

- Motivation
  - No significant heat affected zone (HAZ)
  - Able to bond both similar and dissimilar materials
  - Joint having greater strength than base metals
  - Fast welding process
  - Flexible to weld geometry
  - High reliability/reproducibility
- Objective:
  - Weld dissimilar materials
  - Apply impact welding for smaller length scale
  - Use impact welding to typical manufacturing environment



- Primary electromagnetic (EM) field in actuator induces secondary EM field inside of nearby metal workpiece (flyer plate).
- Primary and secondary EM fields are parallel but in opposite direction.
- Repelling force accelerates flyer plate colliding against stationary target plate to make lap joint in high impact velocity.



[\*Courtesy to Gregg K. Fenton, TMS 2008]



### Circular Actuator for Axis Symmetrical Welding





### Bar Actuator for Plate-to-Plate Welding

#### Literature Research



Double layer, H-shaped flat coil\*

One layer, E-shaped flat coil\*

[T. Aizawa, M. Kashani, and K.Okagawa, welding Journal 2007, Vol. 86]



### Bar Actuator for Plate-to-Plate Welding







Magnet<sup>®</sup> Simulated Current Density



Fixture Die with Insulator Tape



### Bar Actuator for Plate-to-Plate Welding

#### 2<sup>nd</sup> Generation



Variation of Initial Launch Angle

#### Narrow leg



#### Assembled Actuator







### Uniform Pressure Actuator for Plate-to-Plate Welding





Welded Sample



# Bar Actuator for Flanging and Welding



**Experimental Setup** 



### Measurement of Velocity and Current







Photon Doppler Velocimetry (PDV)

Plug in Rogowski Coil

Probes of Fiber Optic Lens

14





### Impact Angle Calculation



Assume flyer plate is rigid before collision and then calculate impact angle:

$$\alpha = tg^{-1} \frac{\Delta h}{\Delta x}$$

In which, flyer relative moving distance:

$$\Delta h = \int v_{II} dt_{II} - \int v_{I} dt_{II}$$

Note:  $v \sim t$  data is measured by PDV and  $\Delta x$  is known.



### **Build up Joining Map**



Joining map for Cu110 joints of 0.254mm thick plates

• Effective welding requires proper combination of impact velocity and impact angle.



# Mechanical Test: Microhardness



- Improved microhardness along welded interface.
- Width of hardened region scales with impact energy density.

		· •	
	EXW	MPW	LIW
Hardened Region (µm)	250	50	20
Energy Density (kJ/m <sup>2</sup> )	6944	747	53



### **Mechanical Test: Nanoindentation**



•Indenters transverse interface with  $5\mu m$  spacing in  $50\mu m$  wide range on either side of the welded interface.

•Hardened region is symmetrical with w.r.t. welded interface.  $10\mu m$  wide regions on either side have extreme hardness.



### **Mechanical Test: Lap Shearing**





### **Mechanical Test: Peeling**



**Peeling Test Setup** 

**Peeled Sample** 

Fracture Surface@5.6kJ

Flyer/Target	Cu110/Cu110			
Impact Energy (kJ)	4.0	4.8	5.6	
Joint Peel Strength (N/mm)	0.9	>10.3	>11.4	
Failure Mode	through	through	through	
	interface	base metal	base metal	



# Impact Welded Interface Morphology



- Feasible for all length scales with proper impact angle and impact velocity.Can be used for dissimilar materials joining.
- •Wavy length and wavy amplitude is proportional to impact energy density.



### **Interfacial Grain Refinement**



- Adiabatic heat and impact pressure make grains along interface undergo severe refinement.
- The average AA6061 grain size is  $\sim 40 \mu m$ ; the average Cu101 grain size is  $\sim 10 \mu m$ . And the average interfacial grain size falls into nanometer scale.



- Similar to EXW, MPW joining map is also dependent on impact angle and impact velocity.
- Impact welding generates wavy interface. Wave length and amplitude scale with plate thickness and impact energy density.
- Mechanical test indicates impact joint has greater microhardness and shear strength.
- High velocity impact generates ultra fine grain structure along welded interface.



- Thanks to electromagnetic forming (EMF) group at OSU, especially Geoff Taber's help on welding experiments.
- Thanks to Pierre L'Eplattenier and Mike Burger's help on LS-DYNA simulation.
- Thanks for graduate student fellowship support from AWS.
- Thanks for SHaRE program at ORNL/DOE.
- Thank you for your attention.