

Estimating drift parameters in a fractional Ornstein Uhlenbeck process with periodic mean

Herold Dehling, Brice Franke, Jeannette H.C. Woerner

Preprint 2015-10

September 2015

Fakultät für Mathematik Technische Universität Dortmund Vogelpothsweg 87 44227 Dortmund

tu-dortmund.de/MathPreprints

September 9, 2015 ESTIMATING DRIFT PARAMETERS IN A FRACTIONAL ORNSTEIN UHLENBECK PROCESS WITH PERIODIC MEAN

HEROLD DEHLING, BRICE FRANKE, AND JEANNETTE H.C. WOERNER

ABSTRACT. We construct a least squares estimator for the drift parameters of a fractional Ornstein Uhlenbeck process with periodic mean function and long range dependence. For this estimator we prove consistency and asymptotic normality. In contrast to the classical fractional Ornstein Uhlenbeck process without periodic mean function the rate of convergence is slower depending on the Hurst parameter H, namely n^{1-H} .

key words: fractional Ornstein Uhlenbeck process, long range dependence, periodic mean function, least squares estimator

1. INTRODUCTION

The classical mean reverting Ornstein Uhlenbeck process is defined by the following stochastic differential equation

$$dX_t = -\alpha X_t dt + \sigma dB_t,$$

where α and σ are positive real numbers and $(B_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a standard Brownian motion. Under Gaussian initial conditions the resulting process $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a Gaussian process. This property and the strong mean reverting character of $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ lead to a long history in various applications. Slight modifications of the above SDE have been proposed to cover further features of observed data. For example we may add a deterministic drift term to the above stochastic differential equation; i.e.:

$$dX_t = (L(t) - \alpha X_t)dt + \sigma dB_t.$$

The resulting process is mean reverting around the time dependent center L(t). This may be used to model seasonalities or trends in the data. However, the process $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a semimartingale and thus the range of applications of the above models is limited to data which do not exhibit long range dependence. In situations with long range dependence but without mean reversion fractional Brownian motion $(B_t^H)_{t\geq 0}$ is the classical model. Once the Hurst parameter $H \in (0, 1)$ fixed it is characterized as the unique continuous zero mean Gaussian process with covariance

$$\mathbb{E}[B_t^H B_s^H] = \frac{1}{2} \left(|t|^{2H} + |s|^{2H} - |t-s|^{2H} \right).$$

Hence we see that for H = 1/2, we recover Brownian motion and for H > 1/2 we have long range dependence. One possibility of a representation of fractional Brownian motion is the moving average representation in Mandelbrot and Van Ness [5] of the form

$$B_t^H = C \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(a_1 \left((t-s)_+^{H-1/2} - (-s)_+^{H-1/2} \right) + a_2 \left((t-s)_-^{H-1/2} - (-s)_-^{H-1/2} \right) \right) dW_s.$$

where $x_{+} = \max(x, 0), x_{-} = \max(-x, 0), a_{1}, a_{2} \in \mathbb{R}, H \in (0, 1), C$ is a normalizing constant and W denotes a two-sided Brownian motion. This is defined by $W_{t} = W_{t}^{1}$ if $t \geq 0$ and $W_{t} = -W_{-t}^{2}$ if t < 0, where W^{1} and W^{2} denote independent copies of W. In view of combining the mean reverting character of the Ornstein Uhlenbeck model with the long memory property of the fractional Brownian motion we introduce for H > 1/2 a generalized fractional Ornstein Uhlenbeck processes by the SDE

(1)
$$dX_t = (L(t) - \alpha X_t)dt + \sigma dB_t^H.$$

First we have to specify in which sense we interpret integrals with respect to fractional Brownian motion. Unless in the case of Brownian motion, where due to the semimartingale setting the Itô integral is the canonical choice, this is not so clear for fractional Brownian motion with H > 1/2. We will now interpret our integrals as divergence integral (cf. e.g. [6]) since this type of integral allow us to derive a solution to (1) in an analogous form as in the Brownian case (cf. [2]). This would not be the case, if we interpret the integral as pathswise Riemann Stieltjes integral. Furthermore, in [4] it was shown that a least squares approach for the mean reverting parameter in a fractional Ornstein Uhlenbeck setting without periodic mean only leads to a consistent estimator when the integrals are interpreted as divergence integrals.

In this paper we want to study the particular class of periodic drift functions $L(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \mu_i \varphi_i(t)$, where the functions $\varphi_i(t); i = 1, ..., p$ are bounded and periodic with the same period ν and the real numbers $\mu_i; i = 1, ..., p$ are unknown parameters. Those parameters together with the positive real number α will be used to fit the model to the data. The parameter $\sigma > 0$ will be assumed known, since for continuous observations it may be read of the sample paths immediately. Thus we have to estimate $\theta := (\mu_1, ..., \mu_p, \alpha)^t$ from an p + 1-dimensional parameter space $\Theta := \mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^+$. Concerning the sampling scheme we assume that we observed the full path of the process $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ on a time interval T which is a multiple of the time period ν ; i.e. $T = n\nu$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $n \to \infty$. In the following the Lebesgue measure on the real line will be denoted by ℓ . Without loss of generality we assume that the functions $\varphi_i; i = 1, ..., p$ are orthonormal in $L^2([0, \nu], \nu^{-1}\ell)$; i.e.

$$\int_0^\nu \varphi_i(t)\varphi_j(t)dt = \delta_{ij}\nu$$

Moreover, we will assume that the functions φ_i ; i = 1, ..., p are bounded by a constant C > 0. The resulting SDE then takes the form

$$dX_t = \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \mu_i \varphi_i(t) - \alpha X_t\right) dt + \sigma dB_t^H$$

with initial condition $X_0 = \xi_0$, where ξ_0 is a random variable independent of the fractional Brownian motion $(B_t^H)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$.

The outline of the paper is the following. First we provide some properties of the model taking into account the special features of the periodic mean function. In section 3 we derive our estimator and in section 4 and 5 we prove consistency and asymptotic normality, respectively.

2. Some preliminary facts on the model

First of all we need some properties of our model which rely on the periodicity of the mean function. Now we derive an explicit solution for equation (1).

Proposition 2.1. The following stochastic process $(X_t)_{t>0}$ given by

$$X_t = e^{-\alpha t} \left(\xi_0 + \int_0^t e^{\alpha s} L(s) ds - \sigma \int_0^t e^{\alpha s} dB_s^H \right); \ t \ge 0$$

is the unique almost surely continuous solution of equation (1) with initial condition $X_0 = \xi_0$.

Proof. This follows analogously to the Brownian case in [2] from the representation of the fractional Ornstein Uhlenbeck process with initial condition ξ_0 in [1], since the Itô formula for the divergence integral of fractional Brownian motion only differs in the term of the second derivatives, which do not contribute in the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck setting, cf. [6].

In the following we need a stationary solution of equation (1) in order to prove a necessary ergodic theorem. The stationary solution is given in an analogous way as moving average as in the classical Ornstein Uhlenbeck process.

Proposition 2.2. The following stochastic process $(\tilde{X}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ given by

$$\tilde{X}_t := e^{-\alpha t} \left(\int_{-\infty}^t e^{\alpha s} L(s) ds + \sigma \int_{-\infty}^t e^{\alpha s} dB_s^H \right)$$

is an almost surely continuous solution of equation (1).

Proof. This follows from the representation of the stationary fractional Ornstein Uhlenbeck process in [1]. Note that we do not have any problem with the integral starting from $-\infty$ since the moving average representation defines the fractional Brownian motion on the whole real line.

Next we show that for large t the difference between the two representations tends to zero.

Proposition 2.3. As $t \to \infty$ we obtain almost surely that $|X_t - \tilde{X}_t| \to 0$.

Proof. The explicit representations of X_t and \tilde{X}_t yield

$$|X_t - \tilde{X}_t| \leq e^{-\alpha t} |\xi_0| + e^{-\alpha t} \left| \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\alpha s} L(s) ds \right| + \sigma e^{-\alpha t} \left| \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\alpha s} dB_s^H \right|,$$

where the right hand side tends to zero almost surely as $t \to \infty$.

From this solution we may now construct a stationary and ergodic sequence of random variables which we need later for our limit theorems.

Proposition 2.4. Assume that L is periodic with period 1, then the sequence of C[0, 1]-valued random variables

$$W_k(s) := X_{k-1+s}, 0 \le s \le 1, k \in \mathbb{N}$$

is stationary and ergodic.

Proof. Since L is periodic, the function

$$\tilde{h}(t) := e^{-\alpha t} \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{\alpha s} L(s) ds$$

is also periodic on \mathbb{R} . We have for any $t \in [0, 1]$ that

$$\begin{split} W_k(t) &= e^{-\alpha(k-1+t)} \int_{-\infty}^{k-1+t} e^{\alpha s} L(s) ds + \sigma e^{-\alpha(k-1+t)} \int_{-\infty}^{k-1+t} e^{\alpha s} dB_s^H \\ &= \tilde{h}(t) + \sigma e^{-\alpha(k-1+t)} \int_{k-1}^{k-1+t} e^{\alpha s} dB_s^H + \sigma \sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-1} e^{-\alpha(k-1+t)} \int_{l-1}^{l} e^{\alpha s} dB_s^H \\ &= \tilde{h}(t) + \sigma e^{-\alpha t} \int_0^t e^{\alpha s} dB_{s+k-1}^H + \sigma \sum_{l=-\infty}^{k-1} e^{-\alpha(k-l+t)} \int_0^1 e^{\alpha s} dB_{s+l-1}^H \\ &= \tilde{h}(t) + \sigma e^{-\alpha t} \int_0^t e^{\alpha s} dB_{s+k-1}^H + \sigma \sum_{j=-\infty}^0 e^{-\alpha(t+1-j)} \int_0^1 e^{\alpha s} dB_{s+j+k-2}^H. \end{split}$$

Thus, we have the almost sure representation

$$W_k(\cdot) = \tilde{h}(\cdot) + F_0(Y_k) + \sum_{j=-\infty}^{0} e^{\alpha(j-1)} F(Y_{j+k-1})$$

with the functionals

$$F_0: C[0,1] \to C[0,1]; \omega \mapsto \left(t \mapsto \sigma e^{-\alpha t} \int_0^t e^{\alpha s} d\omega(s)\right),$$
$$F: C[0,1] \to \mathbb{C}[0,1]; \omega \mapsto \sigma e^{-\alpha t} \int_0^1 e^{\alpha s} d\omega(s)$$

and the C[0, 1]-valued random variable

$$Y_l := [s \mapsto B_{s+l-1}^H - B_{l-1}^H; 0 \le s \le 1].$$

The sequence of Gaussian random variables $(Y_l)_{l \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is stationary and ergodic. This implies that the sequence of C[0, 1]-valued random variables $(W_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is stationary and ergodic. \Box

3. The estimator and its motivation

In this section we want to motivate a particular estimator $\hat{\theta}$ for the parameter θ through a least squares approach. The same kind of approach was used in [3] to derive an estimator for drift parameters in a Lévy driven stochastic differential equations. First, we analyze the more general estimation problem of a p + 1-dimensional parameter vector $\theta = (\theta_1, ..., \theta_{p+1})$ in the stochastic differential equation

$$dX_t = \theta f(t, X_t) dt + \sigma dB_t^H,$$

where $f(t,x) = (f_1(t,x), ..., f_{p+1}(t,x))^t$ with suitable real valued functions $f_i(t,x); 1 \le i \le p$. A discretization of the above equation on the time interval [0,T] yields for $\Delta t := T/N$ and i = 1, ..., N

(2)
$$X_{(i+1)\Delta t} - X_{i\Delta t} = \sum_{j=1}^{p+1} f_j(i\Delta t, X_{i\Delta t})\theta_j\Delta t + \sigma \left(B_{(i+1)\Delta t}^H - B_{i\Delta t}^H\right).$$

The system of equations (2) has some resemblance to classical linear models with dependent noise. In accordance to the classical least squares approach for estimation in linear models we can try to minimize the least squares functional

(3)
$$\mathcal{G}: (\theta_1, ..., \theta_{p+1}) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^N \left(X_{(i+1)\Delta t} - X_{i\Delta t} - \sum_{j=1}^{p+1} f_j(i\Delta t, X_{i\Delta t})\theta_j \Delta t \right)^2.$$

It was discussed in [3] that the minimizer $\tilde{\theta}_{T,\Delta t}$ of the above functional has the form

$$\tilde{\theta}_{T,\Delta t} = Q_{T,\Delta t}^{-1} P_{T,\Delta t},$$

with

$$Q_{T,\Delta t} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=0}^{N} f_1(i\Delta t, X_{i\Delta t}) f_1(i, \Delta t, X_{i\Delta t}) \Delta t & \dots & \sum_{i=0}^{N} f_1(i\Delta t, X_{i\Delta t}) f_p(i, \Delta t, X_{i\Delta t}) \Delta t \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \sum_{i=0}^{N} f_p(i\Delta t, X_{i\Delta t}) f_1(i, \Delta t, X_{i\Delta t}) \Delta t & \dots & \sum_{i=0}^{N} f_p(i\Delta t, X_{i\Delta t}) f_p(i, \Delta t, X_{i\Delta t}) \Delta t \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$P_{T,\Delta t} := \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} f_1(i\Delta t, X_{i\Delta t})(X_{(i+1)\Delta t} - X_{i\Delta t}), \dots, \sum_{i=1}^{N} f_{p+1}(i\Delta t, X_{i\Delta t})(X_{(i+1)\Delta t} - X_{i\Delta t})\right)^t.$$

This motivates the continuous time estimator $\hat{\theta}_T = Q_T^{-1} P_T$ with

$$Q_T = \begin{pmatrix} \int_0^T f_1(t, X_t) f_1(t, X_t) dt & \dots & \int_0^T f_1(t, X_t) f_{p+1}(t, X_t) dt \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \int_0^T f_{p+1}(t, X_t) f_1(t, X_t) dt & \dots & \int_0^T f_{p+1}(t, X_t) f_{p+1}(t, X_t) dt \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$P_T := \left(\int_0^T f_1(t, X_t) dX_t, \dots, \int_0^T f_p(t, X_t) dX_t\right)^t$$

In the special case of the fractional Ornstein Uhlenbeck process we have $\theta = (\mu_1, ..., \mu_p, \alpha)$ and $f(t, x) := (\varphi_1, ..., \varphi_p, -x)^t$. This yields for $T = n\nu$ the estimator

(4)
$$\hat{\theta}_n := Q_n^{-1} P_n$$

with

$$P_n := \left(\int_0^{n\nu} \varphi_1(t) dX_t, \dots, \int_0^{n\nu} \varphi_p(t) dX_t, -\int_0^{n\nu} X_t dX_t\right)^t$$

and

$$Q_n := \left(\begin{array}{cc} G_n & -a_n \\ -a_n^t & b_n \end{array} \right),$$

where

$$G_n := \begin{pmatrix} \int_0^{n\nu} \varphi_1(t)\varphi_1(t)dt & \dots & \int_0^{n\nu} \varphi_1(t)\varphi_p(t)dt \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \int_0^{n\nu} \varphi_p(t)\varphi_1(t)dt & \dots & \int_0^{n\nu} \varphi_p(t)\varphi_p(t)dt \end{pmatrix} = n\nu I_p,$$
$$a_n^t := \left(\int_0^{n\nu} \varphi_1(t)X_t dt, \dots, \int_0^{n\nu} \varphi_p(t)X_t dt\right)$$

and

$$b_n := \int_0^{n\nu} X_t^2 dt.$$

Note that I_p denotes the *p*-dimensional unit matrix.

First we deduce an explicit representation of the estimator $\hat{\theta}_n$. For simplicity from now on we set $\nu = 1$.

Proposition 3.1. We have $\hat{\theta}_n = \theta + \sigma Q_n^{-1} R_n$ with

$$R_n := \left(\int_0^n \varphi_1(t) dB_t^H, \dots, \int_0^n \varphi_p(t) dB_t^H, -\int_0^n X_t dB_t^H\right)^t.$$

Proof. Using equation (1), we obtain for the *i*-th component $(1 \le i \le p)$ of the vector P_n the representation

$$\int_0^n \varphi_i(t) dX_t = \sum_{j=1}^p \mu_j \int_0^n \varphi_i(t) \varphi_j(t) dt - \alpha \int_0^n \varphi_i(t) X_t dt + \sigma \int_0^n \varphi_i(t) dB_t^H$$

and for the p + 1-th component

$$\int_0^n X_t dX_t = \sum_{j=1}^p \mu_j \int_0^n X_t \varphi_j(t) dt - \alpha \int_0^n X_t^2 dt + \sigma \int_0^n X_t dB_t^H.$$

This yields $P_n = Q_n \theta + \sigma R_n$ from which together with $\hat{\theta} = Q_n^{-1} P_n$ proves the claim of the proposition.

Furthermore, we can compute the matrix Q_n^{-1} explicitly.

Proposition 3.2. We obtain

$$Q_n^{-1} = \frac{1}{n} \left(\begin{array}{cc} I_p + \gamma_n \Lambda_n \Lambda_n^t & -\gamma_n \Lambda_n \\ -\gamma_n \Lambda_n^t & \gamma_n \end{array} \right).$$

with

$$\Lambda_n = (\Lambda_{n,1}, \dots, \Lambda_{n,p})^t := \left(\frac{1}{n} \int_0^n \varphi_1(t) X_t dt, \dots, \frac{1}{n} \int_0^n \varphi_p(t) X_t dt\right)^t$$

and

$$\gamma_n := \left(\frac{1}{n} \int_0^n X_t^2 dt - \sum_{i=1}^p \Lambda_{n,i}^2\right)^{-1}.$$

Proof. See [2] for the proof.

Note that, since we will show in Proposition 4.2 that the limit of nQ_n^{-1} is well defined, especially $\lim_{n\to\infty} \gamma_n = \gamma > 0$, this implies that for large enough n also nQ_n^{-1} is well defined almost surely.

6

4. The consistency of the estimator

In this section we prove that the estimator $\hat{\theta}_n$ is consistent using the representation of Proposition 3.1.

Theorem 1. For $H \in (1/2, 3/4)$ $\hat{\theta}_n$ defined in (4) converges in probability to θ as $n \to \infty$.

Proof. Since by Proposition 3.1 we have $\hat{\theta}_n = \theta + \sigma n Q_n^{-1} \frac{1}{n} R_n$ the statement of the theorem follows directly by the following two Propositions, Proposition 4.2 and Proposition 4.1.

Proposition 4.1. For $H \in (1/2, 3/4)$ the sequence $n^{-H}R_n$ is bounded in L^2 .

Proof. Assume that $\sup_t |\varphi_i(t)| \leq C < \infty$, then by the isometry for fractional Brownian motion the variance of the first p components is

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Var}\left(n^{-H} \int_{0}^{n} \varphi_{i}(t) dB_{t}^{H}\right) &= \mathbb{E}\left[\left(n^{-H} \int_{0}^{n} \varphi_{i}(t) dB_{t}^{H}\right)^{2}\right] \\ &= H(2H-1)n^{-2H} \int_{0}^{n} \int_{0}^{n} \varphi_{i}(u)\varphi_{i}(v)|u-v|^{2H-2} du dv \\ &\leq 2C^{2}H(2H-1)n^{-2H} \int_{0}^{n} \int_{0}^{v} (v-u)^{2H-2} du dv = C^{2}. \end{aligned}$$

In order to compute the variance of the last component, we write the solution of equation (1) in the form

$$X_t = e^{-\alpha t} \xi_0 + h(t) + Z_t$$

with

$$h(t) := e^{-\alpha t} \sum_{i=1}^{p} \mu_i \int_0^t e^{\alpha s} \varphi_i(s) ds$$

and

$$Z(t) := \sigma e^{-\alpha t} \int_0^t e^{\alpha s} dB_s^H.$$

The variance of the last component then is

$$\operatorname{Var}\left(n^{-H} \int_{0}^{n} X_{t} dB_{t}^{H}\right) = n^{-2H} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_{0}^{n} X_{t} dB_{t}^{H}\right)^{2}\right]$$
$$= n^{-2H} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_{0}^{n} \left(e^{-\alpha t} \xi_{0} + h(t) + Z_{t}\right) dB_{t}^{H}\right)^{2}\right]$$
$$= E_{1} + E_{2} + E_{3} + E_{4} + E_{5} + E_{6},$$

with

$$\begin{split} E_{1} &:= n^{-2H} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_{0}^{n} e^{-\alpha t} \xi_{0} dB_{t}^{H}\right)^{2}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\xi_{0}^{2}\right] \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} e^{-\alpha n(u+v)} |u-v|^{2H-2} du dv, \\ E_{2} &:= 2n^{-2H} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{n} e^{-\alpha t} \xi_{0} dB_{t}^{H} \int_{0}^{n} h(t) dB_{t}^{H}\right] \\ &= 2\mathbb{E}[\xi_{0}] \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} e^{-\alpha nu} h(nu) |u-v|^{2H-2} du dv, \\ E_{3} &:= 2n^{-2H} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{n} e^{-\alpha r} \xi_{0} dB_{r}^{H} \int_{0}^{n} Z_{t} dB_{t}^{H}\right] \\ E_{4} &:= n^{-2H} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_{0}^{n} h(t) dB_{t}^{H}\right)^{2}\right] = \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} h(nu) h(nv) |u-v|^{2H-2} du dv, \\ E_{5} &:= 2n^{-2H} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{n} h(r) dB_{r}^{H} \int_{0}^{n} Z(t) dB_{t}^{H}\right] \end{split}$$

and

$$E_6 := n^{-2H} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^n Z_t dB_t^H\right)^2\right] = n^{-2H} \sigma^2 \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^n \int_0^t e^{-\alpha(t-s)} dB_s^H dB_t^H\right)^2\right].$$

We now have to discuss the boundedness of each of those terms separately. The term E_1 is obviously bounded as $n \to \infty$. Since the function $t \mapsto h(t)$ is bounded, it follows also that the terms E_2 and E_4 stay bounded. E_3 and E_5 are zero by the isometry property for multiple Wiener integrals of different order (cf. e.g. [7]). E_6 also stays bounded as $n \to \infty$, since we know by [4] that $\frac{1}{n}\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^n Z_t dB_t^H\right)^2\right]$ is convergent for $H \in (1/2, 3/4)$.

Proposition 4.2. As $n \to \infty$ we obtain that nQ_n^{-1} converges almost surely to

$$C := \left(\begin{array}{cc} I_p + \gamma \Lambda \Lambda^t & -\gamma \Lambda \\ -\gamma \Lambda^t & \gamma \end{array} \right),$$

where

$$\Lambda = (\Lambda_1, ..., \Lambda_p)^t := \left(\int_0^1 \varphi_1(t)\tilde{h}(t)dt, ..., \int_0^1 \varphi_p(t)\tilde{h}(t)dt\right)^t$$

and

$$\gamma := \left(\int_0^t \tilde{h}^2(t) dt + \sigma^2 \alpha^{-2H} H \Gamma(2H) - \sum_{i=1}^p \Lambda_i^2 \right)^{-1} dt$$

with $\tilde{h}(t) := e^{-\alpha t} \sum_{i=1}^{p} \mu_i \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{\alpha s} \varphi_i(s) ds.$

Proof. We use the following notation

$$\tilde{X}_t = \tilde{h}(t) + \tilde{Z}_t$$

with

$$\tilde{h}(t) := e^{-\alpha t} \sum_{i=1}^{p} \mu_i \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{\alpha s} \varphi_i(s) ds$$

being periodic with period 1 and

$$\tilde{Z}_t := \sigma e^{-\alpha t} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{\alpha s} dB_s^H.$$

We investigate the limit behaviour of the different entries in $n^{-1}Q_n$ separately.

The ergodic theorem, Proposition 2.4 and Proposition 2.3 yield

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \Lambda_{n,i} = \lim_{n \to n} \frac{1}{n} \int_0^n X_t \varphi_i(t) dt = \lim_{n \to n} \frac{1}{n} \int_0^n \tilde{X}_t \varphi_i(t) dt = \lim_{n \to n} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{k-1}^k \tilde{X}_t \varphi_i(t) dt$$
$$= \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^1 \tilde{X}_t \varphi_i(t) dt \right] = \int_0^1 \tilde{h}(t) \varphi_i(t) dt + \int_0^1 \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \varphi_i(t) e^{-\alpha(t-s)} dB_s^H \right] dt,$$

where the second term in the last expression is zero by the properties of Wiener integrals.

Note that the sequence of C[0, 1] valued random variables $\left[s \mapsto \tilde{Z}_{k+s}\right]$; $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ is stationary and ergodic, hence by the ergodic theorem we have as $n \to \infty$

$$\frac{1}{n}\int_0^n \tilde{Z}_t dt = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^n \int_{k-1}^k \tilde{Z}_t \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^1 \tilde{Z}_t dt\right] = \mathbb{E}[\tilde{Z}_0] = 0.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\left|\frac{1}{n}\int_0^n \left(Z_t - \tilde{Z}_t\right)dt\right| \le \frac{\sigma}{n}\int_0^n e^{-\alpha t} \left|\int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\alpha s} dB_s^H\right|dt \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

Those two facts now imply that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{1}{n} \int_0^n Z_t dt \right| = 0.$$

It then follows from the boundedness of the function $t \mapsto h(t)$ that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{1}{n} \int_0^n X_t dt \right| = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{1}{n} \int_0^n \left(e^{-\alpha t} \xi_0 + h(t) + Z_t \right) dt \right| < \infty$$

From Proposition 2.3 we also have

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{1}{n} \int_0^n \tilde{X}_t dt \right| < \infty.$$

Those two inequalities together with Proposition 2.3 yield

$$\left|\frac{1}{n}\int_0^n \tilde{X}_t^2 dt - \frac{1}{n}\int_0^n X_t^2 dt\right| = \left|\frac{1}{n}\int_0^n \left(\tilde{X}_t + X_t\right)\left(\tilde{X}_t - X_t\right)dt\right| \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

Now using the ergodic theorem for \tilde{h} and [4] for \tilde{Z} we obtain

$$\begin{split} \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_0^n X_t^2 dt &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_0^n \tilde{X}_t^2 dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \tilde{h}^2(t) dt + \sigma^2 \alpha^{-2H} H \Gamma(2H), \end{split}$$

noting that the mixed term is zero due to the properties of Wiener integrals.

Thus the general expression for γ_n in Proposition 3.2 yields

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \gamma_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} \int_0^n X_t^2 dt - \sum_{i=1}^p \Lambda_{n,i}^2 \right)^{-1}$$
$$= \left(\int_0^t \tilde{h}^2(t) dt + \sigma^2 \alpha^{-2H} H \Gamma(2H) - \sum_{i=1}^p \Lambda_i^2 \right)^{-1}.$$

Since the functions φ_i ; i = 1, ..., p are orthonormal in $L^2[0, 1]$ we can use the Bessel inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^p \Lambda_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^p \left(\int_0^1 \varphi_i(t)\tilde{h}(t)dt \right)^2 \le \int_0^1 \tilde{h}^2(t)dt$$

to see that the above limit is well defined and finite, which completes our proof.

5. The asymptotic normality of the estimator

In this section we prove asymptotic normality of our estimator which may be reduced to a limit theorem for dependent normally distributed random variables.

Theorem 2. For $H \in (1/2, 3/4)$ we obtain for $\hat{\vartheta}_n$ defined by (4)

$$n^{1-H}(\hat{\vartheta}_n - \vartheta) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 C \Sigma_0 C)$$

with

$$\Sigma_0 := \left(\begin{array}{cc} \bar{G} & -\bar{a} \\ -\bar{a}^t & \bar{b} \end{array} \right),$$

where

$$\begin{split} \bar{G} &:= \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \alpha_H \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \varphi_1(s)\varphi_1(t) |t-s|^{2H-2} ds dt & \dots & \alpha_H \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \varphi_1(s)\varphi_p(t) |t-s|^{2H-2} ds dt \\ &\vdots & \vdots \\ \alpha_H \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \varphi_p(s)\varphi_1(t) |t-s|^{2H-2} ds dt & \dots & \alpha_H \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \varphi_p(s)\varphi_p(t) |t-s|^{2H-2} ds dt \end{array}\right), \\ \bar{a}^t &:= \left(\alpha_H \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \varphi_1(s)\tilde{h}(t) |t-s|^{2H-2} ds dt, \dots, \alpha_H \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \varphi_p(s)\tilde{h}(t) |t-s|^{2H-2} ds dt\right), \\ \bar{b} &:= \alpha_H \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \tilde{h}(s)\tilde{h}(t) |t-s|^{2H-2} ds dt, \\ \alpha_H &= H(2H-1), \\ \tilde{h}(t) &:= e^{-\alpha t} \sum_{i=1}^p \mu_i \int_{-\infty}^t e^{\alpha s} \varphi_i(s) ds \end{split}$$

and C is defined in Proposition (4.2).

Let us discuss the differences to the Brownian case (cf. [2]) and the fractional Ornstein Uhlenbeck case without periodic mean function (cf. [4]) before proceeding with the proof. The rate of convergence n^{1-H} is slower than in the Brownian case. Furthermore, it is also slower than the rate $n^{1/2}$ for the mean reverting parameter in a fractional Ornstein Uhlenbeck setting with L = 0. This is due to the special structure of our drift coefficient, which in our setting also dominates the component of α leading to a slower rate even for α and a different entry in the covariance matrix. Furthermore, unless in the Brownian case $\Sigma_0 \neq C^{-1}$. This is due to the isometry formula for fractional Brownian motion with

10

H > 1/2, which is not simply derived from the scalar product in L^2 , but from the scalar product in a larger Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Namely for a fixed time interval [0,T] \mathcal{H} is defined as the closure of the set of real valued step functions on [0, T] with respect to the scalar product $< 1_{[0,t]}, 1_{[0,s]} >_{\mathcal{H}} = \mathbb{E}(B_t^H B_s^H).$

Proof. By the representation

$$\hat{\vartheta}_n - \vartheta = \sigma Q_n^{-1} R_n$$

and the almost sure convergence of $nQ_n^{-1} \to C$ it is sufficient to prove that as $n \to \infty$

$$\left(n^{-H}\int_0^n \varphi_1(t)dB_t^H, \dots, n^{-H}\int_0^n \varphi_p(t)dB_t^H, -n^{-H}\int_0^n X_t dB_t^H\right)^t \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma_0).$$

As in the proof of Proposition 4.2 we may replace X_t by \tilde{X}_t with the representation $\tilde{X}_t =$ $\tilde{Z}_t + \tilde{h}(t)$ and may deduce that \tilde{Z}_t does not contribute to the covariance matrix. Namely the contributions to the off-diagonal elements in \bar{a} and the mixed term of \bar{b} are zero by the isometry formula for multiple Wiener integrals of different order (cf. e.g. [7]). Furthermore, $\operatorname{Var}(n^{-H}\int_0^n \tilde{Z}_t dB_t^H) \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty$, since we know by [4] that $\frac{1}{n}\operatorname{Var}(\int_0^n \tilde{Z}_t dB_t^H)$ is convergent and 2H > 1 for 1/2 < H < 3/4.

Hence it is sufficient to show that for the 1-periodic functions φ_i $(1 \le i \le p)$ and \tilde{h} as $n \to \infty$

$$\left(n^{-H} \int_0^n \varphi_1(t) dB_t^H, \dots, n^{-H} \int_0^n \varphi_p(t) dB_t^H, -n^{-H} \int_0^n \tilde{h}(t) dB_t^H\right)^t \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma_0).$$

is an immediate consequence of the following Proposition 5.1.

This is an immediate consequence of the following Proposition 5.1.

Proposition 5.1. Let f_k $(1 \le k \le m)$ be periodic real valued functions with period 1, then for H > 1/2 and $n \to \infty$

$$\left(n^{-H} \int_0^n f_1(t) dB_t^H, \dots, n^{-H} \int_0^n f_m(t) dB_t^H\right)^t$$
$$\xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}\left(0, H(2H-1) \left(\int_0^1 \int_0^1 f_i(t) f_j(s) |t-s|^{2H-2} ds dt\right)_{1 \le i,j \le m}\right).$$

Proof. Since f_k is periodic with period 1, we may write for $1 \le k \le m$

$$n^{-H} \int_0^n f_k(t) dB_t^H = n^{-H} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{i-1}^i f_k(t) dB_t^H = n^{-H} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^k$$

with

$$Y_i^k \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, H(2H-1)\int_0^1 \int_0^1 f_k(t)f_k(s)|t-s|^{2H-2}dsdt\right)$$

and

$$Cov(Y_i^k, Y_j^l) = \rho_H(|i-j|)H(2H-1)\int_0^1 \int_0^1 f_k(t)f_l(s)|t-s|^{2H-2}dsdt$$
$$\sim n^{2H-2}H^2(2H-1)^2 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 f_k(t)f_l(s)|t-s|^{2H-2}dsdt$$

for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ and $1 \leq k, l \leq m$, since

$$\rho_H(n) = \frac{1}{2}((n+1)^{2H} + (n-1)^{2H} - 2n^{2H}).$$

Hence the sequences $(Y_i^k)_i$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 7.2.11 in [8], which implies as $n \to \infty$

$$n^{-H} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i^k \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}\left(0, H(2H-1) \int_0^1 \int_0^1 f_k(t) f_k(s) |t-s|^{2H-2} ds dt\right).$$

Finally the Cramer-Wold device together with a similar argument for the covariance terms lead to the desired result. $\hfill \Box$

Acknowledgement: The financial support of the DFG (German Science Foundation) SFB 823: Statistical modeling of nonlinear dynamic processes (projects C3 and C5) is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- P. CHERIDITO, H. KAWAGUCHI and M. MAEJIMA (2003): Fractional Ornstein Uhlenbeck process, Electronic Journal of Probability, 8, 1-14.
- [2] H. DEHLING, B. FRANKE and T. KOTT (2010): Drift Estimation for a Periodic Mean Reversion Process, Statistical Inference for Stochastic Processes, 13, 175-192.
- [3] B. FRANKE and T. KOTT (2013): Parameter estimation for the drift of a time-inhomogeneous jump diffusion process, *Statistica Neerlandica*, 67, 145-168.
- [4] Y. Hu and D. Nualart (2010): Parameter estimation for fractional Ornstein Uhlenbeck processes, Statistics and Probability Letters, 80, 1030-1038.
- [5] B.B. MANDELBROT and J.W. VAN NESS(1968): Fractional Brownian motions, fractional noises and applications, SIAM Review, 10, 422-437.
- [6] D. NUALART (2006): The Malliavin Calculus and Related Topics. Springer.
- [7] I. NOURDIN and G. PECCATI (2012): Normal Approximations with Malliavin Calculus: From Stein's Method to Universality. Cambridge University Press.
- [8] G. SAMORODNITSKY and M.S. TAQQU(1994): Stable Non-Gaussian Random Processes. Chapman and Hull.

FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, RUHR-UNIVERSITÄT BOCHUM, 44780 BOCHUM, GERMANY *E-mail address*: herold.dehling@ruhr-uni-bochum.de

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUE, UNIVERSITÉ DE BRETAGNE OCCIDENTALE, 29200 BREST, FRANCE *E-mail address*: brice.franke@univ-brest.fr

FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DORTMUND, 44221 DORTMUND, GERMANY *E-mail address*: jwoerner@mathematik.uni-dortmund.de

Preprints ab 2013/01

2015-10	Herold Dehling, Brice Franke and Jeannette H.C. Woerner Estimating drift parameters in a fractional Ornstein Uhlenbeck process with periodic mean
2015-09	Harald Garcke, Johannes Kampmann, Andreas Rätz and Matthias Röger A coupled surface-Cahn-Hilliard bulk-diffusion system modeling lipid raft formation in cell membrans
2015-08	Agnes Lamacz and Ben Schweizer Outgoing wave conditions in photonic crystals and transmission properties at interfaces
2015-07	Manh Hong Duong, Agnes Lamacz, Mark A. Peletier and Upanshu Sharma Variational approach to coarse-graining of generalized gradient flows
2015-06	Agnes Lamacz and Ben Schweizer A negative index meta-material for Maxwell's equations
2015-05	Michael Voit Dispersion and limit theorems for random walks associated with hypergeometric functions of type <i>BC</i>
2015-04	Andreas Rätz Diffuse-interface approximations of osmosis free boundary problems
2015-03	Margit Rösler and Michael Voit A multivariate version of the disk convolution
2015-02	Christina Dörlemann, Martin Heida, Ben Schweizer Transmission conditions for the Helmholtz-equation in perforated domains
2015-01	Frank Klinker Program of the International Conference Geometric and Algebraic Methods in Mathematical Physics March 16-19, 2015, Dortmund
2014-10	Frank Klinker An explicit description of SL (2, \mathbb{C}) in terms of SO ⁺ (3, 1) and vice versa
2014-09	Margit Rösler and Michael Voit Integral representation and sharp asymptotic results for some Heckman-Opdam hypergeometric functions of type BC
2014-08	Martin Heida and Ben Schweizer Stochastic homogenization of plasticity equations
2014-07	Margit Rösler and Michael Voit A central limit theorem for random walks on the dual of a compact Grassmannian
2014-06	Frank Klinker Eleven-dimensional symmetric supergravity backgrounds, their geometric superalgebras, and a common reduction

2014-05	Tomáš Dohnal and Hannes Uecker Bifurcation of nonlinear Bloch waves from the spectrum in the Gross-Pitaevskii equation
2014-04	Frank Klinker A family of non-restricted $D = 11$ geometric supersymmetries
2014-03	Martin Heida and Ben Schweizer Non-periodic homogenization of infinitesimal strain plasticity equations
2014-02	Ben Schweizer The low frequency spectrum of small Helmholtz resonators
2014-01	Tomáš Dohnal, Agnes Lamacz, Ben Schweizer Dispersive homogenized models and coefficient formulas for waves in general periodic media
2013-16	Karl Friedrich Siburg Almost opposite regression dependence in bivariate distributions
2013-15	Christian Palmes and Jeannette H. C. Woerner The Gumbel test and jumps in the volatility process
2013-14	Karl Friedrich Siburg, Katharina Stehling, Pavel A. Stoimenov, Jeannette H. C. Wörner An order for asymmetry in copulas, and implications for risk management
2013-13	Michael Voit Product formulas for a two-parameter family of Heckman-Opdam hypergeometric functions of type BC
2013-12	Ben Schweizer and Marco Veneroni Homogenization of plasticity equations with two-scale convergence methods
2013-11	Sven Glaser A law of large numbers for the power variation of fractional Lévy processes
2013-10	Christian Palmes and Jeannette H. C. Woerner The Gumbel test for jumps in stochastic volatility models
2013-09	Agnes Lamacz, Stefan Neukamm and Felix Otto Moment bounds for the corrector in stochastic homogenization of a percolation model
2013-08	Frank Klinker Connections on Cahen-Wallach spaces
2013-07	Andreas Rätz and Matthias Röger Symmetry breaking in a bulk-surface reaction-diffusion model for signaling networks
2013-06	Gilles Francfort and Ben Schweizer A doubly non-linear system in small-strain visco-plasticity
2013-05	Tomáš Dohnal Traveling solitary waves in the periodic nonlinear Schrödinger equation with finite band potentials
2013-04	Karl Friedrich Siburg, Pavel Stoimenov and Gregor N. F. Weiß Forecasting portfolio-value-at-risk with nonparametric lower tail dependence estimates
2013-03	Martin Heida On thermodynamics of fluid interfaces
2013-02	Martin Heida Existence of solutions for two types of generalized versions of the Cahn-Hilliard equation
2013-01	Tomáš Dohnal, Agnes Lamacz, Ben Schweizer Dispersive effective equations for waves in heterogeneous media on large time scales