

Dana Farina WEIHER, Lüneburg

## Measurement Estimation Accuracy: A Comparison of Different Approaches

To determine the accuracy of an estimate, it is common to calculate the *percentage deviation* ( $D_{perc}$ ) from the real value (Joram et al., 2005). Using data from 615 students (5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> grade) from a written estimation test for length, area, capacity and volume, disadvantages of  $D_{perc}$  were observed: The scale is closed to underestimations, which causes high skewness and high number of outliers (for overestimations). Internal consistency and discrimination power is rather low. Therefore, two alternatives were investigated: *Dividing by the smaller value* (estimated or real value,  $D_{min}$ ) proposed by Lörcher (2000), and *logarithmic error score* ( $D_{log}$ ), adapted from Clayton (1996).

Approach	Formula	Interpretation
$D_{perc}$	$D_{perc} = \frac{e - r}{r}$	- $1 < D_{perc} < \infty$ 0 = exact estimation
$D_{log}$	$D_{log} = \log_{10} \frac{e}{r}$	- $-\infty < D_{log} < \infty$ -1 or 1: deviation of one order of magnitude (factor 10 of $r$ )
$D_{min}$	$D_{min} = \frac{e - r}{\min(e, r)}$	- $-\infty < D_{min} < \infty$ equally good: $e$ half of $r$ , $e$ twice of $r$

**Tab. 1:** Different Approaches for calculating the estimation accuracy ( $e$  = estimated value,  $r$  = real value).

Results from testbook A (310 students) shows highest internal consistency for  $D_{log}$  ( $r = .541$ ), followed by  $D_{min}$  ( $r = .611$ ) and  $D_{perc}$  ( $r = .541$ ). The same order was observed for discrimination power. The advantage of  $D_{log}$  is the open scale for over- and underestimation, which prevents incorrect accuracy when underestimating and reduce outliers without glossing over them. Test quality (internal consistency and discrimination power) seems to be higher.

### Literatur

- Clayton, J. (1996): A Criterion for Estimation Tasks. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*, 27(1), 87–102.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/0020739960270111>
- Joram, E., Gabriele, A. J., Bertheau, M., Gelman, R. & Subrahmanyam, K. (2005). Children's use of the reference point strategy for measurement estimation. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 36(1), 4–23.  
<https://doi.org/10.2307/30034918>.
- Lörcher, G. A. (2000). Zur Entwicklung der Zahlvorstellung. In M. Neubrand (Ed.), *Beiträge zum Mathematikunterricht 2000* (pp. 402–404). Franzbecker (in German).