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Abstract

Working coils for electromagnetic forming processes need to comply with a wide list of
requirements such as durability, efficiency and a tailored pressure distribution. Due to its
unique combination of high strength and high electrical conductivity CuCr1Zr meets these
requirements and is a common material for coil turns. In combination with conventional coil
production processes like winding or waterjet cutting the use of this material is state of the
art. A promising approach for coil production is the use of additive manufacturing (AM)
processes. In comparison to conventional manufacturing processes, AM offers tremendous
advantages such as feature-integration e.g. undercuts or lattice structures. However, this
increased design freedom only leads to improved working coils if copper alloys with high
strength and high electrical conductivity such as CuCr1Zr can be processed. Due to the high
thermal conductivity and reflectivity the use of suchlike materials in additive manufacturing
processes is challenging. Considering the effects of the required pre- and post-processing
treatments for additive manufactured parts the need for research is further increased. The
objective of this paper is to develop a method for the qualification of CuCr1Zr for the
selective laser melting (SLM) process. This comprises the powder characterization, the
process parameter identification and the microstructure investigation of the generated test
geometries.
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1 Introduction

Durability and efficiency are two basic requirements for working coils in electromagnetic forming operations (Belyy et al., 1977). Both aspects are mainly affected by the choice of the coil turn material. While a high yield strength is beneficial to withstand the mechanical loads a high electrical conductivity increases the process efficiency due to lower heating losses (Risch, 2008). A group of materials with a likewise high yield strength and electrical conductivity are low alloyed precipitation hardening copper alloys. With a yield strength in range of 300 to 400 MPa and an electrical conductivity of about 43 MS/m (74% IACS) (Deutsches Kupferinstitut, 2005) CuCr1Zr has a well-balanced property profile for working coils. In combination with conventional coil production processes like winding or waterjet cutting (Golovashchenko, 2006) the use of this material is state of the art.

Selective laser melting (SLM) is an additive manufacturing process and allows a layer by layer production of complex components directly out of metal powder based on CAD-Data. This technology offers tremendous advantages such as feature-integration e.g. undercuts, lattice structures for lightweight construction as well as inner cooling channels. Today SLM is applied in the area of rapid prototyping, rapid tooling and rapid manufacturing. The most frequent manufactured products are tools and individual objects for the medical, automobile and aerospace industry (Gebhard, 2013). The relatively small range of suitable materials limits the broader application of the process. Already well known materials for SLM are stainless and tool steels, titanium-, aluminum- and nickel based alloys with powder grain sizes from 10 to 75 µm. To develop new applications for SLM, novel materials have to be qualified (Uhlmann and Urban, 2011). To qualify new materials for the SLM process different approaches were developed which are all based on a similar procedure. In the first stage maximization of the relative specimen density is the main objective. Afterwards, mechanical properties e.g. yield strength are analyzed and adjusted to reach values comparable to those of conventional wrought alloys. This could also include a heat treatment of the specimen. Finally, the process parameter set is optimized in terms of productivity (Uhlmann and Urban, 2012; Kempen et al., 2011; Sehrt, 2010; Ahuja et al., 2014). In the field of SLM few research is focused on the processing of copper alloys. This can mainly be attributed to the difficulties that occur due to the high thermal conductivity and high reflectivity of copper. While the reflectivity of steel is in the range of \(R_e \sim 0.64\), copper alloys reach values of up to \(R_{Cu} \sim 0.99\) (Pogson et al., 2003). Zhang et al. (2014) reached a relative density of \(\rho_{rel} = 94.6\%\) for specimen made of CuCr1Zr using a laser with a maximum power of 375 W. Becker et al. (2011) achieved densities of about \(\rho_{rel} = 99\%\) with another cooper alloy Hovadur® K220 (Schmelzmetall AG, 2006). However, they required significantly more laser power in the range of 1000 W. In summary, lack in the state of the art on the processing of CuCr1Zr with SLM was identified. The main issue is to achieve the relative density of \(\rho_{rel} \geq 99\%\) for CuCr1Zr. The successful processing of CuCr1Zr allows production of working coils for electromagnetic forming and others applications.
2 Objectives

Main objective was to develop a knowledge base for processing CuCr1Zr using SLM. This includes the identification of process relevant parameters and copper-inherent characteristics regarding SLM e.g. heat dissipation through high thermal conductivity, oxidation, densification and porosity. Based on this investigation a set of parameters was derived to process CuCr1Zr with a relative part density close to $\rho_{rel} \geq 99\%$ (VDI 3405, 2014). This is the basic requirement for further investigations focusing on mechanical properties or the material performance in electromagnetic forming operations.

3 Approach

All specimen were produced on the SLM machine SLM 250HL, MTT Technologies GmbH, Luebeck, Germany. An overview of the machine specifications is given in Figure 1.

**Figure 1**: Selective Laser Melting machine SLM 250HL

3.1 Powder Characterization

The inert gas atomized powder was classified into the grain size range of 20 to 63 μm and which was supplied by TLS Technik GmbH & Co. Spezialpulver KG, Bitterfeld, Germany. The powder properties were obtained from the suppliers’ inspection certificate following European Standard DIN EN 10204, 2005 and validated by retesting upon receipt. The powder analysis was carried out according to VDI 3405-2, 2013 guidelines. Investigated powder requirements were grain size distribution DIN ISO 4497, 1991, grain morphology DIN EN ISO 3252, 2001 and bulk density DIN EN ISO 3923-1, 2010.

3.2 Parameter Identification

A three-stage qualification process was used to identify a suitable set of process parameters, Figure 2. Focusing on the most critical part quality indicators e.g. path width, wall thickness or relative density the process window was narrowed down further in each stage while the specimen geometry gets increasingly complex. For every stage a preheating temperature of $T_V = 200^\circ C$ was applied to reduce thermal stress and distortion.
The first stage covered a parameter field of 128 combinations for the production of single melt tracks of one layer thickness $\Delta z = 50 \, \mu m$ on a steel (H13, 1.2344) substrate plate. Varied parameters were the focal position $x_F$, which is linked to the beam diameter $d_B$, laser power $P_L$ and scanning velocity $v_s$ with 3 repetitions. Furthermore, a number of reference specimen were produced to assess and eliminate the effect of the specimen position in the test array. The melt tracks were analyzed via reflected-light microscopy. Path width, path uniformity, discolorations and balling were rated. Parameter combinations which yielded promising results were used for the further investigations. The adequate focal position $x_F = +1.0 \, mm (d_B = 80 \, \mu m)$ was determined.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geometry</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>No. of Test Specimens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>single scan paths on substrate</td>
<td>beam diameter $d_B$, laser power $P_L$, scanning velocity $v_s$</td>
<td>561</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Evaluation**
- optical inspection via light microscopy
- quality indicators: path width, path uniformity, discolorations, balling

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geometry</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>No. of Test Specimens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>thin walls</td>
<td>laser power $P_L$, scanning velocity $v_s$</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Evaluation**
- image processing of cross-sectional micrographs
- quality indicators: wall thickness, wall uniformity, adhesions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geometry</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>No. of Test Specimens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cubes on supports</td>
<td>laser power $P_L$, hatch spacing $\Delta y$</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Evaluation**
- digital processing of computer tomography data and inspection of micrographs
- quality indicators: relative density, microstructure

**Figure 2: Qualification process for CuCr1Zr**

In the second stage were built thin walls with thickness of one melt path width, in the narrowed down parameter field from stage 1. The varied parameters were laser power $P_L$ and scanning velocity $v_s$. The average wall thickness and its standard deviation as well as number and size of adhesions were analyzed as quality indicators. The final parameter set for thin wall structures (support structures) was determined in this stage.

For the third stage cubes with a dimension 5 x 5 x 5 mm were produced using a further narrowed down parameter field. The varied parameters were laser power $P_L$ and scan spacing $\Delta y$. The specimen were evaluated by digital processing of computer tomography (CT) data. For investigations on this stage 15 combinations were chosen. The evaluation of the results yielded to a parameter combination for the production of dense volumes of CuCr1Zr.
3.3 Data Acquisition – Density

The numerical density data was extracted from cross-sectional images by digital image processing. The images were obtained by computer tomography (CT) with a ZEISS METROTOM 800, Carl Zeiss AG, Jena, Germany. The scanning parameters are shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prefilter copper</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>No. of Projections</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voltage</td>
<td>kV</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>Digital Gain</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>µA</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>Binning</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 x 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposure Time</td>
<td>ms</td>
<td>800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 1: Computer tomography (CT) scanning parameters*

The CT-data were obtained as a 3D density field with a spatial resolution of $7 \times 7 \times 7 \, \mu \text{m}^3/\text{voxel}$. This density field was sliced into a number of cross-sections which were sequentially evaluated. The optimal threshold to differentiate between material and voids was determined with the Otsu’s method (Otsu, 1979). The quotient of pixels identified as material and the total pixel number yielded the relative density $\rho_{rel}$. The individual results were averaged over all sections in a measured volume of $3 \times 3 \times 3 \, \text{mm}^3$ in the center of the cubes. The density determination method by CT was validated by evaluation of micrograph sections and Archimedes’ Method.

3.4 Data Acquisition – Microstructure

Micrograph sections were produced by manual wet-sanding with grain sizes P50, P800, P1000, P1200 and P2400 on SiC-paper and polishing with diamond suspension with grain sizes 3 µm, 1 µm and 0.25 µm and with a 10 % aqueous iron nitrate solution. Etching was carried out using a Klemm III etchant (100 ml distilled water, 11 ml saturated aqueous sodium sulfate solution, 40 g potassium metabisulfite) for 5 seconds. The produced micrograph sections were digitized using light microscope Olympus BX51, Olympus Corporation and scanning electron microscope ZEISS LEO 1455 VP, Carl Zeiss AG, Jena, Germany.

4 Results

4.1 Powder Properties

The optical inspection via SEM revealed uniformly spherical grain morphology with a low number of adhering satellite particles, Figure 3a. Very few particles exhibit an irregular shape or edges. The particle surface shows an even layer of oxidized material. The cross-sectional micrographs in Figure 3b display no inclusions of foreign materials or entrapments of gas (porosity). The microstructure is homogenously and fine as shown in Figure 3c.
4.2 Process Stability and Specimen Quality

Figure 4 shows SLM generated cubes with support structures on a steel substrate plate (H13, 1.2344). Optical inspection of the surfaces by eye reveals no differences in appearance. No discoloration due to overheating could be detected. The bonds of the support structures to the substrate and to the part are adequate which confirms the set of parameters chosen after stage 2. Therefore, the producibility of small-scale copper parts via SLM can be confirmed.

![Figure 4: SLM generated cubes (5 x 5 x 5 mm³) on support structures](image)

Material:
- Copper Powder
- CuCr1Zr (2.1293)

Process Parameters:
- $\Delta z = 50$ $\mu$m
- $\Delta y = 80-160$ $\mu$m
- $\Delta z = 50$ $\mu$m
- $\Delta y = 80-160$ $\mu$m
- $P_L = 250-350$ W
- $v_s = 300$ mm/s
- $T_V = 200$ °C

4.3 Densification and Porosity

The analysis of the cubes via CT yields to the data shown in Figure 5. Higher laser power $P_L$ and reduced hatch spacing $\Delta y$ result in increased densities. The highest achieved relative density was $\rho_{rel} = 99.5 \%$. This confirms the expectation that the applied energy per volume $E_V$ is the main influencing factor on the specimens' density. According to Eq. 1 the energy per volume $E_V$ is not only affected by laser power $P_L$ and hatch spacing $\Delta y$ but also by layer thickness $\Delta z$ as well as scanning velocity $v_s$.

$$E_V = \frac{P_L}{(v_s \cdot \Delta y \cdot \Delta z)}$$
Figure 5: Achieved densities as a function of laser power $P_L$ and hatch spacing $\Delta y$

The further results show an unusual variability at a hatch spacing of $\Delta y = 140 \, \mu m$. The phenomenon cannot be fully explained with the current amount of data but is not expected to have a huge impact on the identification of an optimal parameter set since the results indicate a maximum density $\rho_{rel}$ at much lower hatch spacing.

4.4 Microstructure

The etched micrograph sections in Figure 6 show randomized grain patterns with grains of distinctly different sizes ranging from 10 $\mu m$ up to 200 $\mu m$. The grains are elongated and show parallel patterns of multiple neighboring grains oriented in the same direction. They cross the boundaries of heat-affected zones of subsequent layers which can be seen as faint, half-circular lines (especially in the top right corner of Figure 6c). Some of the grains span multiple layers and seem to have alternating orientations about 45° to the build direction (z-axis). The grain pattern is disrupted by imperfections, such as porosity, and shows a refinement around the pore’s edges. These pores span perpendicular to the build direction, which indicates suboptimal layer adhesion as the main reason for porosity. Furthermore, a number of smaller and rounder pores can be seen, which are expected to be caused by irregularities in the powder bed resulting in suboptimal densification and voids in the produced part.
Figure 6: Light Microscopy of etched micrograph sections, process parameters:
\( P_L = 350 \, W, \, v_s = 300 \, \text{mm/s}, \, \Delta y = 80 \, \mu m, \) magnifications: a) 50x, b) 100x, c) 200x

The SEM-scans in Figure 7 confirm the assumptions above regarding the grain morphology and interaction with pores. The grains are distinctly elongated along the build direction (z-axis) and describe an alternating angle of \( \pm 45^\circ \) to it. They stretch over the boundaries of heat-affected zones and are disrupted by pores. Especially Figure 7a proves that pores occur between layers. It seems as if the applied energy is insufficient so that the layer is not bonded to the previous layer in some areas. This is supported by the fact that Figure 7a shows powder particles that have not been molten inside the pores. Under maximum magnification of 10,000 times the precipitations in the copper alloy become visible. A punctual, homogenous distribution of extremely fine precipitations (diameter \( D < 100 \, \text{nm} \)) can be seen in the bulk of the material away from the heat-affected zone boundaries. In the vicinity of these boundaries the precipitations are bigger, more prevalent and form lattice structures. The precipitations are not uniformly distributed.

Figure 7: SEM of etched micrograph sections, process parameters: \( P_L = 350 \, W, \, v_s = 300 \, \text{mm/s}, \, \Delta y = 80 \, \mu m, \) magnifications: a) 1,000x, b) 3,000x, c) 10,000x
5 Conclusions

The identified process parameters facilitate the production of CuCr1Zr parts with a relative density of \( \rho_{\text{rel}} > 99\% \) with a comparatively low maximum laser power of 350 W. This way the limitations of the material-machine-combination determined by Zhang et al. (2014) can be exceeded. Further research where required is needed to investigate the anomalies that occurred with a hatch spacing of \( \Delta y = 140\, \mu\text{m} \).

The microstructure of CuCr1Zr shows pores that disrupt the homogenous grain patterns and a grain refinement around them. The grains are larger than usual with SLM due to the repeated application of high amounts of energy and the low-alloyed copper. The achieved microstructural state is not optimal for an artificial aging process because the precipitations are dispersed inhomogeneously and concentrated along the boundaries of heat-affected zones. Therefore, a solution annealing step is recommended before aging.

To complete the qualification process for CuCr1Zr analysis of the mechanical e.g. yield stress \( \sigma_y \) and physical properties e.g. electrical conductivity \( \kappa \) of the specimen are required. This also entails the analysis of the heat treatment procedure on these properties.

Acknowledgments

This paper is based on results acquired in the projects UH 100/174-1 and TE 508/51-1, which are kindly supported by the German Research Foundation (DFG).

References


